

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,967		03/11/2004	Richard Lang	LANG3004/JEK	1925
23364	7590	06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & 625 SLATE		•	BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J		
FOURTH F				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314		2851	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		At	<
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,967	LANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Rochelle Blackman	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. §-133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	April 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qùayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 18-24 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/11/04 & 4/11/05</u> is/ar		_	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	
	xammer. Note the attached Off	ce Action of form P10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 		ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	ived.	
Attack-mont/ol			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	on (PTO 412)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 18, 19, 21/18, 21/19/18, 22/21/18, and 22/21/19/18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Satou (U.S. Patent No. 6,517,212).

Regarding claim 18, Satou discloses a projection device (see FIGS. 4-8) wherein white light emitted from a light source system is split in different colors and transmitted to respective light valves, said light source system comprising: a plurality of light sources (see 1 and 2 of FIG. 4A); a plurality of curved light reflectors (see 3a and 4a of FIG. 4A) and optical components (for example, see 6-8 of FIG. 4A) for collecting the light rays from the light sources and creating substantially collimated light beams such that a single collimated beam corresponds to a single light source; dividing elements (see 5 of Fig. 4A; 26, 27

Art Unit: 2851

of FIG.7A; and 28 of FIG. 8) for dissecting the collimated beams into smaller collimated light bundles, said dividing elements interlacing the light bundles from the light sources into one light beam ("dividing elements" 5 or 26,27 or 28 are considered to be capable of "interlacing the light bundles from the light sources into one light beam"); wherein the resulting interlaced light beam propagates in a substantially collimated or parallel state ("dividing elements" 5 or 26,27 or 28 are considered to be capable of producing a "resulting interlaced light beam" that "propagates in a substantially collimated or parallel state").

Regarding claim 19, Satou discloses wherein exit sides of the curved light collecting reflectors face each other (see position of 3a and 4a in FIG. 4A, 7A and 8) and the dividing elements comprise a plurality of rectangular mirrors, mirrored prisms or internally reflecting prisms (see 5 of Fig. 4A; 26, 27 of FIG.7A; and 28 of FIG. 8) producing an interlaced and collimated beam propagating in a direction at 90 degrees to the exit side of the curved light collecting reflectors.

Regarding claim 21/18 and 21/19/18, Satou discloses wherein light color splitting elements (see 15 and 16 of FIG. 4A) are inserted in the path followed by the light downstream from the interlacing elements, said color splitting elements dividing the collimated white light into two or more collimated and highly uniform colored light channels (see function of 15 and 16 in FIG. 4A).

Regarding claim 22/21/18 and 22/21/19/18, Satou discloses wherein the path followed by the light downstream from the interlacing elements and upstream from the light splitting elements, is free from any optical component

Art Unit: 2851

(see area of optical light path between element 9 and "color splitting element" 15 in FIG. 4A).

2. Claims 18, 20, 21/18, 21/20/18, 22/21/18, and 22/21/20/18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawai (U.S. Patent No. 6,183,093).

Regarding claim 18, Sawai discloses a projection device (see FIGS. 1-5) wherein white light emitted from a light source system is split in different colors and transmitted to respective light valves, said light source system comprising: a plurality of light sources (see 3a and 3b of FIGS. 1 and 2); a plurality of curved light reflectors (see 2a and 2b of FIGS. 1 and 2) and optical components (see 8 of FIGS. 1 and 2) for collecting the light rays from the light sources and creating substantially collimated light beams such that a single collimated beam corresponds to a single light source; dividing elements (see A and B of element 4 of FIGS. 1-4) for dissecting the collimated beams into smaller collimated light bundles, said dividing elements interlacing the light bundles from the light sources into one light beam (see function of 4 "dividing elements" A and B of element 4 in FIGS. 1-4); wherein the resulting interlaced light beam propagates in a substantially collimated or parallel state (see shape of light rays emitted from A and B of element 4 in FIGS. 1-4).

Regarding claim 20, Sawai discloses wherein exit sides of the curved light collecting reflectors are located substantially in the same place and face the same direction (see location and position of "curved light reflectors" 2a and 2b in FIGS. 1 and 2); wherein the dividing elements comprise a plurality of rectangular

Art Unit: 2851

mirrors or mirrored prisms (see A and B of element 4 in FIGS. 1 and 2) producing an interlaced light beam propagating in the same direction as the exit side of the curved light collecting reflectors.

Regarding claims 21/18 and 21/20/18, Sawai discloses wherein light color splitting elements (see 9 and 10 of FIG. 1) are inserted in the path followed by the light downstream from the interlacing elements, said color splitting elements dividing the collimated white light into two or more collimated and highly uniform colored light channels (see function of 9 and 10 in FIG. 1).

Regarding claims 22/21/18 and 22/21/20/18, Satou discloses wherein the path followed by the light downstream from the interlacing elements and upstream from the light splitting elements, is free from any optical component (see area of optical light path between element 7 and "color splitting element" 9 in FIG. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 23/21/18, 23/21/19/18, 24/22/21/18, and 24/22/21/19/18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satou (U.S. Patent

Art Unit: 2851

No. 6,517,212) in view of Kruschwitz et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0039036).

Satou discloses the claimed invention except for "light integrating components optimized for each color and optimized to be used with collimated light, said integrating components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by their collimated light downstream of the light splitting elements"; and "pre-polarizing components optimized for each color, said pre-polarizing components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by the light downstream, of the light integrating elements".

Kruschwitz teaches providing light integrating components (see 172R, 172G, 172B of FIG. 6) optimized for each color and optimized to be used with collimated light, said integrating components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by their collimated light downstream of the light splitting elements; and pre-polarizing components (see 170R, 170G, 170B of FIG. 6) optimized for each color, said pre-polarizing components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by the light downstream, of the light integrating elements.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the "projection device" of the Satou reference with "light integrating components" and ""pre-polarizing components" for each color, as taught by Kruschwitz for the purpose of providing a full color display system which allows control of the illumination brightness to optimize system design, and

Art Unit: 2851

exhibits reduced speckle and eliminates coherence artifacts at a spatial light modulator while exhibiting high throughput efficiency (see pg. Paragraph [0012]).

2. Claims 23/21/18, 23/21/20/18, 24/22/21/18, and 24/22/21/20/18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawai (U.S. Patent No. 6,183,093) in view of Kruschwitz et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0039036).

Sawai discloses the claimed invention except for "light integrating components optimized for each color and optimized to be used with collimated light, said integrating components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by their collimated light downstream of the light splitting elements"; and "pre-polarizing components optimized for each color, said pre-polarizing components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by the light downstream, of the light integrating elements".

Kruschwitz teaches providing light integrating components (see 172R, 172G, 172B of FIG. 6) optimized for each color and optimized to be used with collimated light, said integrating components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by their collimated light downstream of the light splitting elements; and pre-polarizing components (see 170R, 170G, 170B of FIG. 6) optimized for each color, said pre-polarizing components inserted in their corresponding color channels in the path followed by the light downstream, of the light integrating elements.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the "projection device" of the Sawai reference with

Art Unit: 2851

"light integrating components" and ""pre-polarizing components" for each color, as taught by Kruschwitz for the purpose of providing a full color display system which allows control of the illumination brightness to optimize system design, and exhibits reduced speckle and eliminates coherence artifacts at a spatial light modulator while exhibiting high throughput efficiency (see pg. Paragraph [0012]).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/796,967

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RB

JUDY NGUYEN

JUDY NGUYEN

DERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER